

## REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE Q

The proponents say that it is "unfair" to keep the term limits previously decided by the Voters of Garden Grove. What is really "unfair" is that Mark Rosen neglects to mention that he is termed-out and cannot run again for four years – unless Measure Q passes.

The real purpose of this measure is to water down the term limits, which were decided by the Voters to prevent elected officials from becoming career politicians and getting too cozy with special interests.

When then-Mayor Bruce Broadwater fought to impose term limits in 1996, he supported the policy of making a termed-out councilmember wait a full term of four years before running again, because he believed that the process was "fair".

The proponents argue that changing the rules will allow "experienced" members to "stay longer" on the city council. If we accept this argument, why not just do away with term limits?

The proponents correctly note that our city council has both experienced and newer members. This is true, because our present policy works as it was intended. If the proponents argue that Measure Q won't change how term limits work, then why propose it?

Measure Q is an expensive ploy to get around the rules of term limits. Measure Q is not about "fairness to the People" - it is about watering down term limits decided by the Voters of Garden Grove in 1996.

Stop your vote from being invalidated. We urge you to vote NO on Measure Q.

s/ Mayor William Dalton

s/ Councilmember Dina Nguyen