

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE Q

The opponents of Measure Q have trotted out the usual cliches for term limits.

There's just one problem. The cliches are all wrong.

In 1996, term limits was the political fad of the day. The voters had imposed them on the State Legislature, and Garden Grove and other cities adopted them.

The result has been a colossal failure. Legislative term limits destroyed the Legislature: budgets are always late and unbalanced because term-limited members are looking to the next job. Term limits have left some cities without any experienced councilmembers. We don't need that in Garden Grove. Avoiding an inept and leaderless city council is worth a lot more than \$8,000.

There aren't any career politicians in Garden Grove. A city councilmember is paid only \$500 a month. But there is inconsistency when the mayor, who, if reelected will have served twelve years in a row, criticizes term limits while enjoying the benefit of the unfair loopholes for mayors that's allowed him to stay in office.

Both of the signatories against Measure Q, William Dalton and Dina Nguyen, were elected to the city council by beating incumbent councilmembers! They didn't need artificial barriers to get rid of incumbents.

Measure Q promises a middle ground - it removes councilmembers after eight years, but allows them to come back after two years if the voters so choose. This guarantees that the voters get fresh faces, but can call on experience if needed.

Restore fairness to term limits. Vote Yes on Measure Q.

s/ MARK ROSEN

s/ BRUCE BROADWATER